

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,243	01/02/2004	Vladimir Marin	COS-971 3854	
7590 11/09/2006			EXAM	INER
David J. Alexa	nder		LU, C C	AIXIA
Fina Technology, Inc. P.O. Box 674412		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77267-4412			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006	DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4
//
レ

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/751,243	MARIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Caixia Lu	1713	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Caixia Lu	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		TE below);	•			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1.4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.4.	*	maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mpliant Amenument	(PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ovided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	Is to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the c	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13.		Caixia Lu				
•		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The scope of the amended claims has been changed.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the remarks are regarding the not yet entered amended claims rather than the rejected claims of the previous Office action mailed August 30, 2006.